

# MA347 – HW22

Jonathan Lam

April 26, 2021

1. Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + \sqrt{2}b : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is an integral domain.

To show this, we must first show that  $R = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a ring, and then that it is a commutative ring with identity, and then that it has no zero divisors.

*Proof.* ( $R$  is a ring.) Let  $a = a_1 + \sqrt{2}a_2, b = b_1 + \sqrt{2}b_2 \in R$ , for  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Define the sum element-wise. Define the product by

$$\cdot(a, b) = ab = (a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2) + \sqrt{2}(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)$$

Due to the closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  over product,  $(a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2), (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so  $ab \in R$ .

**R1** ( $R, +$ ) is an abelian group due to the commutativity of the addition of rational numbers. (Proof not shown here b/c trivial.)

**R2** Let  $a = a_1 + \sqrt{2}a_2, b = b_1 + \sqrt{2}b_2, c = c_1 + \sqrt{2}c_2 \in R$ , for  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)c &= ((a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2) + \sqrt{2}(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1))c \\&= ((a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2)(c_1) + 2(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)(c_2)) \\&\quad + \sqrt{2}((a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2)(c_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)(c_1)) \\&= (a_1(b_1c_1 + 2b_2c_2) + 2a_2(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1)) \\&\quad + \sqrt{2}(a_1(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1) + a_2(b_1c_1 + 2b_2c_2)) \\&= a((b_1c_1 + 2b_2c_2) + \sqrt{2}(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1)) \\&= a(bc)\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  product is associative.

**R3** Let  $a, b, c \in R$  as above. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
a(b+c) &= a((b_1+c_1) + \sqrt{2}(b_2+c_2)) \\
&= (a_1(b_1+c_1) + 2a_2(b_2+c_2)) \\
&\quad + \sqrt{2}(a_1(b_2+c_2) + a_2(b_1+c_1)) \\
&= ((a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2) + (a_1c_1 + 2a_2c_2)) \\
&\quad + \sqrt{2}((a_1b_2 + a_2b_1) + (a_1c_2 + a_2c_1)) \\
&= ((a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2) + \sqrt{2}(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)) \\
&\quad + ((a_1c_1 + 2a_2c_2) + \sqrt{2}(a_1c_2 + a_2c_1)) \\
&= ab + ac
\end{aligned}$$

(The proof of right distributivity follows likewise due to the commutativity and distributivity of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .)

$\therefore$  addition distributes over product.

**R1, R2, R3** are satisfied  $\therefore R$  is a ring.

(Show that  $R$  is commutative and unital.) Use the commutativity of sum and product in  $\mathbb{Q}$  to show that product in  $R$  is commutative. Assume  $a, b \in R$  as previously:

$$\begin{aligned}
ab &= (a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2) + \sqrt{2}(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1) \\
&= (b_1a_1 + 2b_2a_2) + \sqrt{2}(b_2a_1 + b_1a_2) \\
&= (b_1a_1 + 2b_2a_2) + \sqrt{2}(b_1a_2 + b_2a_1) \\
&= ba
\end{aligned}$$

$R$  has identity  $e = 1 + \sqrt{2}(0)$ , because  $\forall a \in R$ :

$$ea = (1a_1 + 2(0)a_2) + \sqrt{2}(1a_2 + 0a_1) = a_1 + \sqrt{2}a_2 = a$$

and  $ea = a = ae$  because  $R$  is commutative.

(Show that  $R$  has no zero divisors.) Assume  $ab = 0 = 0 + \sqrt{2}(0)$  for some  $a, b \in R$  and  $a$  nonzero.

$$(a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2) + \sqrt{2}(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1) = 0 + \sqrt{2}(0) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_2 = 0 \\ a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$a \neq 0 \Rightarrow a_1, a_2$  are not both zero. Assume  $a_1, b_1, b_2 \neq 0$  (i.e.,  $b \neq 0$ ). Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= -\frac{2a_2b_2}{a_1}, \quad b_2 = -\frac{a_2b_1}{a_1} \\
\Rightarrow b_1 &= \frac{2a_2^2}{a_1^2}b_1 \Rightarrow \frac{2a_2^2}{a_1^2} = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow a_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_1
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction since  $a_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $a_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$ .

Similarly, if we assume that  $a_2, b_1, b_2 \neq 0$  (i.e.,  $b \neq 0$ ), then:

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= -\frac{a_1 b_1}{2a_2}, \quad b_1 = -\frac{a_1 b_2}{a_2} \\ \Rightarrow b_2 &= \frac{a_1^2}{2a_2^2} b_2 \Rightarrow \frac{a_1^2}{2a_2^2} = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{a_1}{a_2} = \sqrt{2} \Rightarrow a_1 = \sqrt{2}a_2 \end{aligned}$$

which is again a contradiction because  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

$\therefore ab = 0, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$ . (Similarly,  $b \neq 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$  because  $R$  is commutative.) Thus  $R$  has no zero divisors.  $\square$

2. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Let  $L$ ,  $M$ , and  $N$  be (two-sided) ideals. Prove that:

(a)  $M + N$  is a left ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = m_1 + n_1 \in M + N$  and  $r \in R$ , for  $m_1, m_2 \in M, n_1, n_2 \in N$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= (m_1 + n_1) + (m_2 + n_2) \\ &= (m_1 + m_2) + (n_1 + n_2) \\ &= m_3 + n_3 \in M + N \end{aligned}$$

for  $m_3 \in M, n_3 \in N$ , since  $M, N$  are ideals and thus closed over addition.  $\therefore M + N$  is closed over addition. We also have:

$$\begin{aligned} rx &= r(m_1 + n_1) \\ &= rm_1 + rn_2 \\ &= m_4 + n_4 \in M + N \end{aligned}$$

for  $m_4 \in M, n_4 \in N$ , since  $M, N$  are ideals and closed over product with an element of  $R$ .  $\therefore M + N$  is closed over product with an element of  $r$ .

$\therefore M + N$  is a left ideal. (It is also a right ideal by the symmetric argument.)  $\square$

(b)  $L(M + N) = LM + LN$

*Proof.* Let  $l \in L, m \in M, n \in N$ . By definition of product of ideals:

$$\begin{aligned} LM + LN &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^s l_i m_i : l_i \in L, m_i \in M, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^t l_i n_i : l_i \in L, n_i \in N, t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^u l_i m_i + l_i n_i : l_i \in L, m_i \in M, n_i \in N, u \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^u l_i (m_i + n_i) : l_i \in L, m_i \in M, n_i \in N, u \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \\ &= L(M + N) \end{aligned}$$

(Note that when we move from the two summations with upper limits  $s$  and  $t$  to the single summation with upper limit  $u$ , we “fill in” the missing terms with 0’s, since  $0 \in M, N$ .)  $\square$

(c)  $LM \subseteq L \cap M$

*Proof.* Let  $x = lm \in LM$ ,  $l \in L, m \in M$ . By definition of the product of ideals:

$$LM = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n l_i m_i : l_i \in L, m_i \in M, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

Since  $L$  is a right ideal, each term  $l_i m_i \in L$ , and the linear combination lies in  $L$ . Similarly, since  $M$  is a left ideal, each term  $l_i m_i \in M$ , and the linear combination lies in  $M$ . Thus the linear combination lies in  $L \cap M$ , so  $LM \subseteq L \cap M$ .  $\square$