DSP Fall 2020 Quiz #3 makeup, Filter Design Name:

Question 1:

Consider the system below, where $H(e^{j\omega})$ is a bandpass filter, with passband ripple $\delta_1 = .001$ and stop band ripple $\delta_2 = .001$ and band edges $\omega_{s1} = .2\pi \omega_{p1} = .4\pi, \omega_{p2} = .6\pi, \omega_{p2} = .8\pi$. The sampling rate for the idea C/D and D/C is 1/T = 10,000 samples per second. Furthermore, it is known that the filter $H(e^{j\omega})$ has a maximum group delay of 34 samples.



- a) (1 point) What property should the input signal have so that the overall system behaves as an LTI system with $Y_c(j\Omega) = H_{eff}(j\Omega) X_c(j\Omega)$?
- b) (1 point) For the conditions found in part a), sketch $|H_{eff}(j\Omega)|$, include as much detail as possible.
- c) (2 points) Based on the information given, could the filter $H(e^{j\omega})$ have been designed using the impulse invariance method? If so, specify the analog prototype filter $H_{ap}(j\Omega)$. If not, explain why not.
- d) (2 points) Based on the information given, could the filter $H(e^{j\omega})$ have been designed using the blinear transformation method? If so, specify the analog prototype filter $H_{ap}(j\Omega)$. If not, explain why not.
- e) (2 points) Based on the information given, could the filter H(e^{jw}) have been designed using the Kaiser window method? If so, specify the ideal impulse response used in the design. If not, explain why not.
- f) (2 points) Based on the information given, could the filter H(eⁱ) have been designed using the Park-McLellan algorithm? If so, specify possible values for the group delay. If not, explain why not.

 $H(e^{jw})$ is a highpass filter, $d_p = 0.001$, $d_s = 0.001$, $w_s = 0.4\pi$, $w_p = 0.6\pi$. Ts = 27 (10000) 5 H(cir) has reximm group deleg of 14 samples a) - If there is any alracing then the system will not be LTE. Thus the bandwidth of Xe(t) should be & Nyquirt bandwidth, i.e., should be less than 2011/Sond rad 2TI (Sond) rad (Heff (j=s2)) 6) 110eff (J-S4)1 0.989-= 274 (2000) S2p = ₩, 2.6A T = 1 = 24 (good) c) Impulse invariance cannot be used for a HPF due to aliasing (i.e., hugher frequencies will alras into lover frequencies). However, bidinear transform would work ble there's no aliasing there.

d) bilinear transformation can be used be cause it dresn't with introduce aliasing analog prototype fiter; $\Delta = \frac{2}{T_{a}} \tan\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)$ 0.9994(e1~) ≤ 1.901 une 0,50€ w ≤ T. [H(e^{jn})] ≤ 0.001 due 06w5 0.4a $\frac{1}{9} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0.949 \leq \left[H(j\Omega) \right] \leq 1.001 \quad \text{when } 2(10000) \tan\left(\frac{0.67}{2}\right) \leq \Omega \\ \leq 2(10000) \tan\left(\frac{9}{2}\right) \\ \leq 2(10000) \tan\left(\frac{9}{2}\right) \\ \end{array} \right\}$ e) Yes, Kaiser windows an genorally represent HPFs But 0.24 by subtacting are LPF windows from another $A = -29 \log_{10} d^{2} = -20 \log_{10} (0.001) = 60$ B = 0.002 (60 - 8.7) $M = \frac{A - 8}{2.285 \text{ by}} = \frac{60 - 8}{(2.285)(0.28)}$ $U[n] = sin[\pi(n - \frac{36}{2})] - \frac{sin[\pi(n - \frac{36}{2})]}{\pi(n - \frac{36}{2})} - \frac{sin[\pi(n - \frac{36}{2})]}{\pi(n - \frac{36}{2})}$ The group delay of this Kaiser window is = 18 >14 So this carnot be the fitter.

F). Using equation 7.117: M= -10 lege (S.S.) -13 = -1010910 ((0.001) (0.001) -13 2.324 (0.22) 32.2 - $\frac{deley}{2} = \frac{33}{2} \approx 17.$ group V hut grap delay is too high again (17714).